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## Milestones in Molecular Biology

There is tremendous interest to sequence:

- What is your genome sequence?
- How does your genome compare to my genome?
- Where are the genes and how active are they?
- How does gene activity change during development?
- How does splicing change during development?
- How does methylation change during development?
- How does chromatin change during development?
- How does is your genome folded in the cell?
- Where do proteins bind and regulate genes?
- What virus and microbes are living inside you?
- How has the disease mutated your genome?
- What drugs should we give you?


## Sequencing Centers



Next Generation Genomics: World Map of High-throughput Sequencers
http://pathogenomics.bham.ac.uk/hts/

## Sequence Alignment

- A very common problem in computational biology is to find occurrences of one sequence in another sequence
- Genome Assembly
- Gene Finding
- Comparative Genomics
- Functional analysis of proteins
- Motif discovery
- SNP analysis
- Phylogenetic analysis

- Primer Design
- Personal Genomics
- ...


## Personal Genomics

How does your genome compare to the reference?


Creates magical_ technology _-
$\qquad$

## Searching for GATTACA

- Where is GATTACA in the human genome?
- Strategy I: Brute Force

| I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
| G | A | T | T | A | C | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

No match at offset I

## Searching for GATTACA

- Where is GATTACA in the human genome?
- Strategy I: Brute Force

| I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
|  | G | A | T | T | A | C | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Match at offset 2

## Searching for GATTACA

- Where is GATTACA in the human genome?
- Strategy I: Brute Force

| I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
|  |  | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

No match at offset $3 \ldots$

## Searching for GATTACA

- Where is GATTACA in the human genome?
- Strategy I: Brute Force

| I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | ... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | G | A | T | T | A | C | A |  |

No match at offset 9 <- Checking each possible position takes time

## Brute Force Analysis



- Brute Force:
- At every possible offset in the genome:
- Do all of the characters of the query match?
- Analysis
- Simple, easy to understand
- Genome length = $n$
- Query length =m
- Comparisons: $(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{I}) * \mathrm{~m}$
- Overall runtime: $O(n m)$
[How long would it take if we double the genome size, read length?] [How long would it take if we double both?]


## Expected Occurrences

The expected number of occurrences (e-value) of a given sequence in a genome depends on the length of the genome and inversely on the length of the sequence

- I in 4 bases are $G, I$ in $I 6$ positions are $G A, I$ in 64 positions are GAT, ...
- I in 16,384 should be GATTACA
- $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{n} /\left(4^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ [183,105 expected occurrences]
[How long do the reads need to be for a significant match?]



## Brute Force Reflections

Why check every position?

- GATTACA can't possibly start at position I5
[WHY?]

| I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | G | A | T | T | A | C | A |  |

- Improve runtime to $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m})$
[3B + 7]
- If we double both, it just takes twice as long
- Knuth-Morris-Pratt, 1977
- Boyer-Moyer, I977, I99I
- For one-off scans, this is the best we can do (optimal performance)
- We have to read every character of the genome, and every character of the query
- For short queries, runtime is dominated by the length of the genome


## Suffix Arrays: Searching the Phone Book

- What if we need to check many queries?
- We don't need to check every page of the phone book to find 'Schatz'
- Sorting alphabetically lets us immediately skip $96 \%(25 / 26)$ of the book without any loss in accuracy
- Sorting the genome: Suffix Array (Manber \& Myers, 1991)
- Sort every suffix of the genome


Split into n suffixes


Sort suffixes alphabetically
[Challenge Question: How else could we split the genome?]

## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- Lo = I; Hi = I5;

| $\xrightarrow{\text { Lo }}$ | \# | Sequence | Pos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | ACAGATTACC... | 6 |
|  | 2 | ACC... | 13 |
|  | 3 | AGATTACC... | 8 |
|  | 4 | ATTACAGATTACC... | 3 |
|  | 5 | ATTACC... | 10 |
|  | 6 | C... | 15 |
|  | 7 | CAGATTACC... | 7 |
|  | 8 | CC... | 14 |
|  | 9 | GATTACAGATTACC... | 2 |
|  | 10 | GATTACC... | 9 |
|  | 11 | TACAGATTACC... | 5 |
|  | 12 | TACC... | 12 |
|  | 13 | TGATTACAGATTACC... | 1 |
|  | 14 | TTACAGATTACC... | 4 |
| $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hi}}$ | 15 | TTACC... | 11 |

## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- Lo $=1 ; \mathrm{Hi}=15 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(1+15) / 2=8$
- Middle $=$ Suffix[8] = CC

| Lo | \# | Sequence | Pos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | ACAGATTACC... | 6 |
|  | 2 | ACC... | 13 |
|  | 3 | AGATTACC... | 8 |
|  | 4 | ATTACAGATTACC... | 3 |
|  | 5 | ATTACC... | 10 |
|  | 6 | C... | 15 |
|  | 7 | CAGATTACC... | 7 |
|  | 8 | CC... | 14 |
|  | 9 | GATTACAGATTACC... | 2 |
|  | 10 | GATTACC... | 9 |
|  | 11 | tacagattacc... | 5 |
|  | 12 | TACC... | 12 |
|  | 13 | TGATTACAGATTACC... | 1 |
|  | 14 | TTACAGATTACC... | 4 |
| $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hi}}$ | 15 | TTACC... | 11 |

## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- Lo $=1 ; \mathrm{Hi}=15 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(1+15) / 2=8$
- Middle $=$ Suffix[8] = CC
=> Higher: Lo = Mid + I

| Lo | \# | Sequence | Pos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | ACAGATTACC... | 6 |
|  | 2 | ACC... | 13 |
|  | 3 | AGATTACC... | 8 |
|  | 4 | ATtACAGATTACC... | 3 |
|  | 5 | ATTACC... | 10 |
|  | 6 | C... | 15 |
|  | 7 | CAGATTACC. | 7 |
|  | 8 | CC... | 14 |
|  | 9 | GATTACAGATTACC... | 2 |
|  | 10 | GATTACC... | 9 |
|  | 11 | tacagattacc... | 5 |
|  | 12 | TACC... | 12 |
|  | 13 | TGATTACAGATTACC... | 1 |
|  | 14 | TTACAGATTACC... | 4 |
| $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hi}}$ | 15 | TTACC... | 11 |

## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- Lo $=1 ; \mathrm{Hi}=15 ; \operatorname{Mid}=(I+I 5) / 2=8$
- $\quad$ Middle $=$ Suffix[8] = CC
=> Higher: Lo = Mid + I
- $\quad$ Lo $=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{I} 5$;

|  | \# | Sequence | Pos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | ACAGATTACC. | 6 |
|  | 2 | ACC... | 13 |
|  | 3 | AGATTACC... | 8 |
|  | 4 | ATTACAGATTACC... | 3 |
|  | 5 | ATTACC... | 10 |
|  | 6 | C... | 15 |
|  | 7 | CAGATTACC. | 7 |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Lo }}$ | 8 | CC... | 14 |
|  | 9 | GATTACAGATTACC... | 2 |
|  | 10 | GATTACC... | 9 |
|  | 11 | TACAGATTACC... | 5 |
|  | 12 | TACC... | 12 |
|  | 13 | TGATTACAGATTACC... | 1 |
|  | 14 | TTACAGATTACC... | 4 |
| $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hi}}$ | 15 | TTACC... | 11 |

## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- $\mathrm{Lo}=\mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{I} 5 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{I} 5) / 2=8$
- Middle = Suffix[8] = CC
=> Higher: Lo = Mid + I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{I} 5 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+\mid 5) / 2=12$
- $\quad$ Middle $=$ Suffix[I2] = TACC



## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- $\mathrm{Lo}=\mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{I} 5 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{I} 5) / 2=8$
- $\quad$ Middle $=$ Suffix[8] = CC
=> Higher: Lo = Mid + I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{I} 5 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+\mid 5) / 2=12$
- Middle $=$ Suffix[I2] = TACC
=> Lower: Hi = Mid - I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{II}$;

| \# | Sequence | Pos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ACAGATTACC... | 6 |
| 2 | ACC... | 13 |
| 3 | AGATTACC... | 8 |
| 4 | ATTACAGATTACC... | 3 |
| 5 | ATTACC... | 10 |
| 6 | C. | 15 |
| 7 | CAGATTACC. | 7 |
| 8 | CC... | 14 |
| 9 | GATTACAGATTACC... | 2 |
| 10 | GATTACC... | 9 |
| 11 | TACAGATTACC... | 5 |
| 12 | TACC... | 12 |
| 13 | TGATTACAGATTACC.. | I |
| 14 | TTACAGATTACC... | 4 |
| 15 | TTACC. | 11 |

## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- $\mathrm{Lo}=\mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{Hi}=15 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{I} 5) / 2=8$
- $\quad$ Middle $=$ Suffix $[8]=$ CC
=> Higher: Lo = Mid + I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{I} 5 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+\mid 5) / 2=12$
- Middle = Suffix[I2] = TACC
=> Lower: Hi = Mid - I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{II} ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+\mathrm{II}) / 2=10$
- Middle = Suffix[I0] = GATTACC

|  | \# | Sequence | Pos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | ACAGATTACC... | 6 |
|  | 2 | ACC... | 13 |
|  | 3 | AGATTACC... | 8 |
|  | 4 | ATTACAGATTACC. | 3 |
|  | 5 | ATTACC. | 10 |
|  | 6 | C... | 15 |
|  | 7 | CAGATTACC... | 7 |
| Lo | 8 | CC... | 14 |
|  | 9 | GATtACAGATTACC... | 2 |
|  | 10 | GATTACC... | 9 |
| $\stackrel{\mathrm{Hi}}{\Rightarrow}$ | 11 | TACAGATTACC... | 5 |
|  | 12 | TACC. | 12 |
|  | 13 | TGATTACAGATTACC... | 1 |
|  | 14 | TTACAGATTACC... | 4 |
|  | 15 | TTACC. | 11 |

## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- $\mathrm{Lo}=\mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{Hi}=15 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(1+15) / 2=8$
- Middle = Suffix[8] = CC
=> Higher: Lo = Mid + I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{I} 5 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+\mid 5) / 2=12$
- Middle = Suffix[I2] = TACC
=> Lower: Hi = Mid - I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{II} ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+\mathrm{II}) / 2=10$
- Middle = Suffix[I0] = GATTACC
=> Lower: Hi = Mid - I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=9$;

|  | \# | Sequence | Pos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | ACAGATTACC... | 6 |
|  | 2 | ACC... | 13 |
|  | 3 | AGATTACC. | 8 |
|  | 4 | ATTACAGATTACC. | 3 |
|  | 5 | ATTACC. | 10 |
|  | 6 | C. | 15 |
|  | 7 | CAGATTACC... | 7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lo } \\ & \mathrm{HI} \end{aligned}$ | 8 | CC.. | 14 |
|  | 9 | GATTACAGATTACC... | 2 |
|  | 10 | GATTACC... | 9 |
|  | 11 | TACAGATTACC.. | 5 |
|  | 12 | TACC. | 12 |
|  | 13 | TGATTACAGATTACC... | I |
|  | 14 | TTACAGATTACC.. | 4 |
|  | 15 | TTACC... | 11 |

## Searching the Index

- Strategy 2: Binary search
- Compare to the middle, refine as higher or lower
- Searching for GATTACA
- $\mathrm{Lo}=\mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{I} 5 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{I} 5) / 2=8$
- $\quad$ Middle $=$ Suffix $[8]=$ CC
=> Higher: Lo = Mid + I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=15 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+15) / 2=\mathrm{I} 2$
- Middle $=$ Suffix[I2] = TACC
=> Lower: Hi = Mid - I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=\mathrm{II} ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+\mathrm{II}) / 2=10$
- $\quad$ Middle $=$ Suffix[I0] = GATTACC
=> Lower: Hi = Mid - I
- $\mathrm{Lo}=9 ; \mathrm{Hi}=9 ; \mathrm{Mid}=(9+9) / 2=9$
- Middle = Suffix[9] = GATTACA...
=> Match at position 2 !

|  | \# | Sequence | Pos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | ACAGATTACC... | 6 |
|  | 2 | ACC... | 13 |
|  | 3 | AGATTACC... | 8 |
|  | 4 | ATTACAGATTACC. | 3 |
|  | 5 | ATTACC. | 10 |
|  | 6 | C. | 15 |
|  | 7 | CAGATTACC... | 7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lo } \\ & \text { Hi } \end{aligned}$ | 8 | CC... | 14 |
|  | 9 | GATTACAGATTACC... | 2 |
|  | 10 | GATTACC... | 9 |
|  | 11 | TACAGATTACC... | 5 |
|  | 12 | TACC. | 12 |
|  | 13 | TGATTACAGATTACC... | 1 |
|  | 14 | TTACAGATTACC... | 4 |
|  | 15 | TTACC. | 11 |

## Binary Search Analysis

- Binary Search

Initialize search range to entire list mid $=(\mathrm{hi}+\mathrm{lo}) / 2$; middle $=$ suffix[mid] if query matches middle: done else if query < middle: pick low range else if query > middle: pick hi range
Repeat until done or empty range

- Analysis
- More complicated method
- How many times do we repeat?
- How many times can it cut the range in half?
- Find smallest $x$ such that: $n /\left(2^{x}\right) \leq 1 ; x=\lg _{2}(n)$
- Total Runtime: $O(m \lg n)$
- More complicated, but much faster!
- Looking up a query loops 32 times instead of 3B
[How long does it take to search 6B or 24B nucleotides?]



## Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2

Ben Langmead and Steven Salzberg (2012) Nature Methods. 9, 357-359

## In-exact alignment

- Where is GATTACA approximately in the human genome?
- And how do we efficiently find them?
- It depends...
- Define 'approximately'
- Hamming Distance, Edit distance, or Sequence Similarity
- Ungapped vs Gapped vs Affine Gaps
- Global vs Local
- All positions or the single 'best'?
- Efficiency depends on the data characteristics \& goals
- Smith-Waterman: Exhaustive search for optimal alignments
- BLAST: Hash-table based homology searches
- Bowtie: BWT alignment for short read mapping


## Searching for GATTACA

- Where is GATTACA approximately in the human genome?

| I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | ... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
| G | A | T | T | A | C | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Match Score: I/7

## Searching for GATTACA

- Where is GATTACA approximately in the human genome?

| I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
|  | G | A | T | T | A | C | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Match Score: 7/7

## Searching for GATTACA

- Where is GATTACA approximately in the human genome?

| I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
|  |  | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Match Score: I/7

## Searching for GATTACA

- Where is GATTACA approximately in the human genome?

| $\mathbf{I}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | $\mathbf{1 I}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 14 | 15 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T | G | A | T | T | A | C | A | G | A | T | T | A | C | C | $\ldots$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | G | A | T | T | A | C | A |  |

Match Score: 6/7 <- We may be very interested in these imperfect matches Especially if there are no perfect end-to-end matches

## Similarity metrics

- Hamming distance
- Count the number of substitutions to transform one string into another

| GATTACA | GATTTTTACA |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\|\|\|\mathrm{x}\|\|\|$ | $\|\|\mid$ XXXXXX |
| GATCACA | GATTACA |
| 1 | 6 |

- Edit distance
- The minimum number of substitutions, insertions, or deletions to transform one string into another

| GATTACA | GATTTTTACA |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\|\|\|\mathrm{X}\|\|\|$ | $\|\|\|\|\mathrm{XXX}\|\|\|$ |
| GATCACA | GATT---ACA |
| 1 | 3 |

## Seed-and-Extend Alignment

Theorem: An alignment of a sequence of length $m$ with at most $k$ differences must contain an exact match at least $s=m /(k+l)$ bp long
(Baeza-Yates and Perleberg, I996)

- Proof: Pigeonhole principle
- I pigeon can't fill 2 holes
- Seed-and-extend search
- Use an index to rapidly find short exact alignments to seed longer in-exact alignments
- BLAST, MUMmer, Bowtie, BWA, SOAP, ...
- Specificity of the depends on seed length

- Guaranteed sensitivity for $k$ differences
- Also finds some (but not all) lower quality alignments <- heuristic


## Algorithm Overview

1. Split read into segments

Read
CCAGTAGCTCTCAGCCTTATTTTACCCAGGCCTGTA

Read (reverse complement) TACAGGCCTGGGTAAAATAAGGCTGAGAGCTACTGG

Policy: extract 16 nt seed every 10 nt
Seeds

```
+, 0: CCAGTAGCTCTCAGCC
-, 0: TACAGGCCTGGGTAAA
```

+, 10: TCAGCCTTATTTTACC
-, 10: GGTAAAATAAGGCTGA
+, 20: TTTACCCAGGCCTGTA
, 20: GGCTGAGAGCTACTGG
2. Lookup each segment and prioritize

Seeds
+, 0: CCAGTAGCTCTCAGCC
+, 10: TCAGCCTTATTTTACC
+, 20: TTTACCCAGGCCTGTA
-, 0: TACAGGCCTGGGTAAA
-, 10: GGTAAAATAAGGCTGA
-, 20: GGCTGAGAGCTACTGG

3. Evaluate end-to-end match


# Questions? 

http://schatzlab.cshl.edu

## Suffix Array Construction

- How can we store the suffix array?
[How many characters are in all suffixes combined?]

- Hopeless to explicitly store 4.5 billion billion characters
- Instead use implicit representation
- Keep I copy of the genome, and a list of sorted offsets
- Storing 3 billion offsets fits on a server (I2GB)
- Searching the array is very fast, but it takes time to construct
- This time will be amortized over many, many searches
- Run it once "overnight" and save it away for all future queries


## Sorting

Quickly sort these numbers into ascending order:
14, 29, 6, 31, 39, 64, 78, 50, 13, 63, 61, 19
[How do you do it?]

```
6, I4, 29, 3I, 39, 64, 78, 50, I3, 63, 6I, I9
6, I3, I4, 29, 3I, 39, 64, 78, 50, 63, 6|, I9
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3I, 39, 64, 78, 50, 63, 6I
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3I, 39, 64, 78, 50, 63, 6I
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3I, 39, 64, 78, 50, 63, 6I
6, I3, |4, I9, 29, 3|, 39, 50, 64, 78, 63,6|
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3I, 39, 50, 6I, 64, 78, 63
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3|, 39, 50, 6I, 63, 64,78
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3|, 39, 50, 6|, 63, 64,78
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3I, 39, 50, 6|, 63, 64,78
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3|, 39, 50, 6|, 63, 64,78
6, I3, I4, I9, 29, 3|, 39, 50, 6|, 63, 64,78
```


http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Selection_sort

## Selection Sort Analysis

- Selection Sort (Input: list of $n$ numbers)

```
for pos = I to n
    // find the smallest element in [pos, n]
    smallest = pos
    for check = pos+l to n
        if (list[check] < list[smallest]): smallest = check
```

// move the smallest element to the front
tmp $=$ list[smallest]
list[pos] = list[smallest]
list[smallest] = tmp

- Analysis

$$
T=n+(n-1)+(n-2)+\cdots+3+2+1=\sum_{i=1}^{n} i=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}=O\left(n^{2}\right)
$$

- Outer loop: pos $=I$ to $n$
- Inner loop: check = pos to n
- Running time: Outer * Inner $=\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$
[4.5 Billion Billion]
[Challenge Questions: Why is this slow? / Can we sort any faster?]


## Divide and Conquer

- Selection sort is slow because it rescans the entire list for each element
- How can we split up the unsorted list into independent ranges?
- Hint I: Binary search splits up the problem into 2 independent ranges (hi/lo)
- Hint 2: Assume we know the median value of a list

[How many times can we split a list in half?]


## QuickSort Analysis

- QuickSort(Input: list of $n$ numbers)
// see if we can quit
if (length(list)) <= I): return list
// split list into lo \& hi
pivot $=$ median(list)
lo $=\{ \} ;$ hi $=\{ \} ;$
for ( $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{I}$ to length(list))
if (list[i] < pivot): append(lo, list[i])
else:
append(hi, list[i])

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quicksort
// recurse on sublists
return (append(QuickSort(lo), QuickSort(hi))
- Analysis (Assume we can find the median in $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(n)= \begin{cases}O(1) & \text { if } n \leq 1 \\
O(n)+2 T(n / 2) & \text { else }\end{cases} \\
& T(n)=n+2\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+4\left(\frac{n}{4}\right)+\cdots+n\left(\frac{n}{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\lg (n)} \frac{2^{i} n}{2^{i}}=\sum_{i=0}^{\lg (n)} n=O(n \lg n) \tag{~94B}
\end{align*}
$$

## QuickSort Analysis

- QuickSort(Input: list of $n$ numbers)
// see if we can quit
if (length(list)) <= I): return list
// split list into lo \& hi
pivot $=$ median(list)
lo $=\{ \} ;$ hi $=\{ \} ;$
for ( $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{I}$ to length(list))
if (list[i] < pivot): append(lo, list[i])
else:
append(hi, list[i])

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quicksort
// recurse on sublists
return (append(QuickSort(lo), QuickSort(hi))
- Analysis (Assume we can find the median in $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(n)= \begin{cases}O(1) & \text { if } n \leq 1 \\
O(n)+2 T(n / 2) & \text { else }\end{cases} \\
& T(n)=n+2\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+4\left(\frac{n}{4}\right)+\cdots+n\left(\frac{n}{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\lg (n)} \frac{2^{i} n}{2^{i}}=\sum_{i=0}^{\lg (n)} n=O(n \lg n) \tag{~94B}
\end{align*}
$$

